

Serial No: 09/758017
Group No 1652

PCT

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION
International Bureau



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

<p>(51) International Patent Classification ⁶ : C12N 9/24, 15/56, C12Q 1/68</p>		<p>A2</p>	<p>(11) International Publication Number: WO 97/25416 (43) International Publication Date: 17 July 1997 (17.07.97)</p>
<p>(21) International Application Number: PCT/GB97/00057</p>		<p>tute, 10666 North Torrey Pines Road, La Jolla, CA 92037 (US).</p>	
<p>(22) International Filing Date: 9 January 1997 (09.01.97)</p>		<p>(74) Agents: DZIEGLEWSKA, Hanna, Eva et al.; Frank B. Dehn & Co., 179 Queen Victoria Street, London EC4V 4EL (GB).</p>	
<p>(30) Priority Data: 9600384.3 9 January 1996 (09.01.96) GB</p>		<p>(81) Designated States: AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CU, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GE, HU, IL, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, ARIPO patent (KE, LS, MW, SD, SZ, UG), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).</p>	
<p>(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): NYFOTEK A/S [NO/NO]; Strindveien 4, N-7034 Trondheim (NO).</p>			
<p>(71) Applicant (for GB only): DZIEGLEWSKA, Hanna, Eva [GB/GB]; Frank B. Dehn & Co., 179 Queen Victoria Street, London EC4V 4EL (GB).</p>			
<p>(72) Inventors; and</p>			
<p>(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): KROKAN, Hans, E. [NO/NO]; Unigen Center for Molecular Biology, University of Trondheim, N-7005 Trondheim (NO). SLUPPCHAUG, Geir [NO/NO]; Unigen Center for Molecular Biology, University of Trondheim, N-7005 Trondheim (NO). KAVLI, Bodil [NO/NO]; Unigen Center for Molecular Biology, University of Trondheim, N-7005 Trondheim (NO). TAINER, John, A. [US/US]; Department of Molecular Biology, The Scripps Research Institute, 10666 North Torrey Pines Road, La Jolla, CA 92037 (US). MOL, Clifford, D. [US/US]; Department of Molecular Biology, The Scripps Research Insti-</p>			
<p>(54) Title: NOVEL DNA GLYCOSYLASES AND THEIR USE</p>			
<p>(57) Abstract</p>			
<p>Novel cytosine-, thymine- and uracil-DNA glycosylases, subcellular localization peptides, nucleic acid molecules containing the same, methods of identifying such enzymes and their use in various methods including mutagenesis, cell killing and DNA sequencing and modification, are described.</p>			

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AM	Armenia	GB	United Kingdom	MW	Malawi
AT	Austria	GE	Georgia	MX	Mexico
AU	Australia	GN	Guinea	NE	Niger
BB	Barbados	GR	Greece	NL	Netherlands
BE	Belgium	HU	Hungary	NO	Norway
BF	Burkina Faso	IE	Ireland	NZ	New Zealand
BG	Bulgaria	IT	Italy	PL	Poland
BJ	Benin	JP	Japan	PT	Portugal
BR	Brazil	KE	Kenya	RO	Romania
BY	Belarus	KG	Kyrgyzstan	RU	Russian Federation
CA	Canada	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	SD	Sudan
CF	Central African Republic	KR	Republic of Korea	SE	Sweden
CG	Congo	KZ	Kazakhstan	SG	Singapore
CH	Switzerland	LI	Liechtenstein	SI	Slovenia
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	LK	Sri Lanka	SK	Slovakia
CM	Cameroon	LR	Liberia	SN	Senegal
CN	China	LT	Lithuania	SZ	Swaziland
CS	Czechoslovakia	LU	Luxembourg	TD	Chad
CZ	Czech Republic	LV	Latvia	TG	Togo
DE	Germany	MC	Monaco	TJ	Tajikistan
DK	Denmark	MD	Republic of Moldova	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
EE	Estonia	MG	Madagascar	UA	Ukraine
ES	Spain	ML	Mali	UG	Uganda
FI	Finland	MN	Mongolia	US	United States of America
FR	France	MR	Mauritania	UZ	Uzbekistan
GA	Gabon			VN	Viet Nam

NOVEL DNA GLYCOSYLASES AND THEIR USE

5 This invention relates to new DNA-glycosylases, in particular new cytosine-, thymine- and uracil-DNA glycosylases, and their use for mutagenesis, for DNA modification and cell killing.

10 Damage to DNA arises continually throughout the cell cycle and must be recognised and repaired prior to the next round of replication to maintain the genomic integrity of the cell. DNA base damage can be recognised and excised by the ATP-dependent nucleoside excision repair systems or by base excision repair systems exemplified by the DNA glycosylases.

15 DNA glycosylases are enzymes that occur normally in cells. They release bases from DNA by cleaving the bond between deoxyribose and the base in DNA. Naturally occurring glycosylases remove damaged or incorrectly placed bases. This base excision repair pathway is the 20 major cellular defence mechanism against spontaneous DNA damage.

25 DNA glycosylases which have been identified are directed to specific bases or modified bases. An example of a DNA glycosylase which recognizes an unmodified base is uracil DNA glycosylase (UDG), which specifically recognises uracil in DNA and initiates base excision repair by hydrolysing the N-C1'glycosylic bond linking the uracil base to the deoxyribose sugar. This creates an abasic site that is removed by a 5'-acting 30 apurinic/apyrimidic (AP) endonuclease and a deoxyribophosphodiesterase, leaving a gap which is filled by DNA polymerase and closed by DNA ligase.

35 The activity of UDG serves to remove uracil which arises in DNA as a result of incorporation of dUMP instead of dTMP during replication or from the spontaneous deamination of cytosine. Deamination of cytosine to uracil creates a premutagenic U:G mismatch

- 2 -

that, unless repaired, will cause a GC → AT transition mutation.

5 In vivo, UDGs specifically recognise and remove uracil from within DNA and cleave the glycosylic bond to initiate the uracil excision pathway. In vitro, UDG's can recognise and remove uracil from both single stranded DNA (ssDNA) and double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) substrates.

10 UDGs are ubiquitous enzymes and have been isolated from a number of sources. Amino acid sequencing reveals that the enzymes are conserved throughout evolution with greater than about 55% amino acid identity between human and bacterial proteins. A cDNA for human UDG has been cloned and the corresponding gene has been named *UNG* 15 (Olsen et al. (1989) EMBO J., 8: 3121-3125).

20 The crystal structures of the human enzyme (Mol et al., (1995) Cell, 80: 869-878) and the herpes simplex virus enzymes (Savva et al. (1995) Nature, 373: 487-493) have recently been determined and reveal that uracil binds in a rigid pocket at the base of the DNA binding groove of human UDG. The absolute specificity of the enzyme for uracil over the structurally related DNA bases thymine and cytosine is conferred by shape complementarity, as well as main chain and side chain 25 hydrogen bonds.

30 Although UDG's do not have activity against other bases as a result of the afore-mentioned specific spatial and charge characteristics of the active site, other glycosylases with different activities have been identified, which may or may not be restricted to single substrates.

35 A naturally-occurring thymine-DNA glycosylase has been identified which in addition to releasing thymine also releases uracil (Nedderman & Jiricny (1993) J. Biol. Chem., 268: 21218-21114 ; Nedderman & Jiricny (1994) J. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 91: 1642-1646). This thymine-DNA glycosylase however has activity in

- 3 -

respect of only certain substrates and has an absolute requirement for a mismatched U or T opposite of a G in a double-stranded substrate and will not recognise T or U from T(U):A matches or a single-stranded substrate. DNA 5 glycosylases which recognize and release unmodified bases other than uracil and thymine (in certain substrates, as mentioned above) have not been identified.

A DNA glycosylase recognizing unmodified cytosine 10 has not been reported, although a 5-hydroxymethylcytosine-DNA glycosylase activity was detected in mammalian cells (Cannon et al. (1988) Biochem. Biophys. Res. Comm., 151: 1173-1179). The sequences of the afore-mentioned thymine and 5-hydroxymethylcytosine DNA glycosylases have not yet been 15 reported and it is unknown whether their active site may be structurally related to UDG.

It has now surprisingly been found that the 20 substitution of certain of the UDG amino acids has a profound effect on the substrate specificity of the glycosylase. In particular, the replacement of Asn204 by Asp204 results in the production of a mutant enzyme which has acquired cytosine-DNA glycosylase (CDG) activity, while retaining some UDG-activity. 25 Alternatively, replacing Tyr147 with Ala147 allows for binding of thymine, resulting in an enzyme that has acquired thymine-DNA glycosylase (TDG) activity.

These new DNA glycosylases are not product-inhibited 30 by added uracil, in contrast to UDG and other UDG-mutants. Compared with the efficiency of wild type UDG in removal of uracil, the activity of the new DNA glycosylases that remove normal pyrimidines in DNA is low, but distinct and easily detectable. However, it should be noted that the very high turnover of UDG 35 appears to be unique among DNA glycosylases and turnover numbers of other DNA glycosylases may be as low, or even lower than those of the engineered glycosylases CDG and

TDG. This may result from the narrow substrate specificity of UDG.

Furthermore, an additional new UDG has been identified. The complete sequence of the UNG gene was 5 recently published (Haug et al., 1996, *Genomics*, 36, p408-416). As mentioned previously, cDNA to this UNG gene has been identified by Olsen et al., 1989, *supra* (hereinafter referred to as *UNG1* cDNA and the expressed protein referred to as *UNG1*). It has now surprisingly 10 been found that alternative splicing of the genomic DNA (UNG) with an exon located 5' of exon 1 which was not previously recognized results in a new distinct cDNA with an open reading frame of 313 amino acids. The new 15 UNG cDNA is referred to hereinafter as *UNG2* cDNA, and the product which it encodes, *UNG2*. The latter protein has a predicted size of 36kDa.

UNG2 differs from the previously known form (*UNG1*, ORF 304 amino acid residues) in the 44 amino acids of the N-terminal presequence, which is not necessary for 20 catalytic activity. The rest of the presequence and the catalytic domain, altogether 269 amino acids, are identical. The alternative presequence in *UNG2* arises by splicing of a previously unrecognized exon (exon 1A) 25 into a consensus splice site after codon 35 in exon 1B (previously designated exon 1). The *UNG1* presequence starts at codon 1 in exon 1B and thus has 35 amino acids not present in *UNG2*. Coupled transcription/translation 30 in rabbit reticulocyte lysates demonstrated that both proteins are catalytically active. Similar forms of *UNG1* and *UNG2* are expressed in mouse which has an identical organization of the homologous gene. Furthermore, the presequence of a putative *Xiphophorus* 35 *UNG2* protein predicted from the gene structure is homologous to mammalian *UNG2*, but much shorter, suggesting a very high degree of conservation from fish to man.

The invention therefore provides a DNA glycosylase

- 5 -

capable of releasing cytosine bases from single stranded (ss) DNA and/or double stranded (ds) DNA or thymine bases from both single stranded (ss) DNA and double stranded (ds) DNA or from single stranded (ss) DNA or uracil bases from single stranded (ss) DNA and/or double stranded (ds) DNA, wherein said uracil-DNA glycosylase is encoded by a nucleic acid molecule comprising the sequence (SEQUENCE I.D. Nos 1 and 2);

10	1	CACAGCCACA GCCAGGGCTA GCCTCGCCGG TTCCCGGGTG GCGCGCGTTC GCTGCCTCCT
61	CAGCTCCAGG ATGATCGGCC AGAAGACGCT CTACTCCTTT TTCTCCCCCA GCCCCGCCAG	
	M I G Q K T L Y S F F S P S P A	
15	121 GAAGCGACAC GCCCCCAGCC CCGAGCCGGC CGTCCAGGGG ACCGGCGTGG CTGGGGTGCC	
	R K R H A P S P E P A V Q G T G V A G V	
20	181 TGAGGAAAGC GGAGATGCGG CGGCCATCCC AGCCAAGAAG GCCCCGGCTG GGCAGGAGGA	
	P E E S G D A A A I P A K K A P A G Q E	
25	241 GCCTGGGACG CCGCCCTCCT CGCCGCTGAG TGCCGAGCAG TTGGACCGGA TCCAGAGGAA	
	E P G T P P S S P L S A E Q L D R I Q R	
30	301 CAAGGCCGCG GCCCTGCTCA GACTCGCGC CCGCAACGTG CCCGTGGCT TTGGAGAGAG	
	N K A A A L L R L A A R N V P V G F G E	
35	361 CTGGAAGAAG CACCTCAGCG GGGAGTCGG GAAACCGTAT TTTATCAAGC TAATGGGATT	
	S W K K H L S G E F G K P Y F I K L M G	
40	421 TGTGCGAGAA GAAAGAAAGC ATTACACTGT TTATCCACCC CCACACCAAG TCTTCACCTG	
	F V A E E R K H Y T V Y P P P H Q V F T	
45	481 GACCCAGATG TGTGACATAA AAGATGTGAA GGTTGTCATC CTGGACAGG ATCCATATACA	
	W T Q M C D I K D V K V V I L G Q D P Y	
50	541 TGGACCTAAT CAAGCTCACG GGCTCTGCTT TAGTGTCAA AGGCCTGTT CGCCTCCGCC	
	H G P N Q A H G L C F S V Q R P V P P P	
55	601 CAGTTGGAG AACATTTATA AAGAGTTGTC TACAGACATA GAGGATTTG TTICATCCTGG	
	P S L E N I Y K E L S T D I E D F V H P	
60	661 CCATGGAGAT TTATCTGGGT GGGCCAAGCA AGGTGTTCTC CTTCTCAACG CTGTCCTCAC	
	G H G D L S G W A K Q G V L L L N A V L	
65	721 GGTCGTGCC CATCAAGCCA ACTCTCATAA GGAGCGAGGC TGGGAGCAGT TCACTGATGC	
	T V R A H Q A N S H K E R G W E Q F T D	
70	781 AGTTGTGTCC TGGCTAAATC AGAACTCGAA TGGCCTTGTGTT TTCTTGCTCT GGGGCTCTTA	
	A V V S W L N Q N S N G L V F L L W G S	

- 6 -

841 TGCTCAGAAG AAGGGCAGTG CCATTGATAG GAAGCGGCAC CATGTACTAC AGACGGCTCA
Y A Q K K G S A I D R K R H H V L Q T A
5 901 TCCCCTCCCCCT TTGTCAGTGT ATAGAGGGTT CTTTGGATGT AGACACTTTT CAAAGACCAA
H P S P L S V Y R G F F G C R H F S K T
961 TGAGCTGCTG CAGAAGTCTG GCAAGAACCC CATTGACTGG AAGGAGCTGT GATCATCAGC
N E L L Q K S G K K P I D W K E L
10 1021 TGAGGGGTGG CCTTTGAGAA GCTGCTGTTA ACGTATTG CAGTTACGAA GTTCCACTGA
1081 AAATTTCCCT ATTAATTCTT AAGTACTCTG CATAAGGGGG AAAAGCTTCC AGAAAGCAGC
1141 CATGAACCAAG GCTGTCCAGG AATGGCAGCT GTATCCAACC ACAAAACAACA AAGGCTACCC
15 1201 TTTGACCAAA TGTCTTCTC TGCAACATGG CTTCGGCCTA AAATATGCAG AAGACAGATG
1261 AGGTCAAATA CTCAGTTGGC TCTCTTTATC TCCCTTGCT TTATGGTGAACACAGGGAGA
20 1321 TGTGCACCTT TCAGGCACAG CCCTAGTTG GCGCCTGCTG CTCCCTGGTT TTGCCTGGTT
1381 AGACTTTCAAG TGACAGATGT TGGGGTGTGTT TTGCTTAGAA AGGTCCCCCTT GTCTCAGCCT
1441 TGCAAGGCAG GCATGCCAGT CTCTGCCAGT TCCACTGCC CCGTGATCTT TGAAGGAGTC
25 1501 CTCAGGCCCTC TCGCAGCATA AGGATGTTT GCAACTTTCC AGAATCTGGC CCAGAAATTAA
1561 GGGCTCAATT CCCTGATTGT AGTAGAGGTT AAGATTGCTG TGAGCTTTAT CAGATAAGAG
30 1621 ACCGAGAGAA GTAAGCTGGG TCTTGTATT CCTTGGGTGT TGGTGGAAATA AGCAGTGGAA
1681 TTTGAACAAG GAAGAGGAGA AAAGGGAAATT TTGCTTTAT GGGGTGGGGT GATTTTCTCC
1741 TAGGGTTATG TCCAGTTGGG GTTTTAAGG CAGCACAGAC TGCCAAGTAC TGTGTTTTTT
35 1801 AACCGACTGA AATCACTTTG GGATATTTT TCCCTGCAACA CTGGAAAGTT TTAGTTTTTT
1861 AAGAAGTACT CATGCAGATA TATATATATA TATTTTCCC AGTCCTTTT TTAAGAGACG
40 1921 GTCTTTATTG GGTCTGCACC TCCATCCTTG ATCTTGTAG CAATGCTGTT TTTGCTGTTA
1981 GTCGGGTTAG AGTTGGCTCT ACGCGAGGTT TGTTAATAAA AGTTGTTAA AAGTTCAAAA
45 2041 AAAAAAAA AAA
or a fragment thereof encoding a catalytically active
product comprising at least nucleotides 121 to 130,
preferably 71 to 202 in addition to the catalytic
domain, or a sequence which is degenerate, substantially
homologous with or which hybridizes with at least
50 nucleotides 121 to 130, preferably 71 to 202 of any such

aforesaid sequence.

In particular, viewed from one aspect, the invention can be seen as providing a cytosine-DNA glycosylase (CDG) capable of releasing cytosine bases from ssDNA and/or dsDNA.

5 A further aspect of the invention provides a cytosine-DNA glycosylase (CDG) capable of releasing both cytosine and uracil bases from ssDNA and/or dsDNA.

10 Preferably, the cytosine-DNA glycosylase is one derived from a UDG and especially from the human UDG protein which has Asn at amino acid position 204. In particular, the novel CDG of the invention is preferably derived from human UDG and has an amino acid substitution or modification at position 204.

15 Modification of UDG from other species at an equivalent residue is similarly preferred. Especially preferably, the glycosylase is human UDG having an aspartic acid residue (Asp) at position 204.

20 Another aspect of the invention provides a thymine-DNA glycosylase (TDG) capable of releasing thymine bases from both ssDNA and dsDNA.

25 A further aspect of the invention provides a thymine-DNA glycosylase (TDG) capable of releasing both thymine and uracil bases from both ssDNA and dsDNA.

Yet further aspects of the invention provide a thymine-DNA glycosylase (TDG) capable of releasing thymine bases from A:T DNA pairs and a thymine-DNA glycosylase (TDG) capable of releasing thymine bases from single stranded DNA.

30 Preferably, the thymine-DNA glycosylase is one derived from a UDG, and especially from the human UDG protein which has Tyr at amino acid position 147. In particular, the novel CDG of the invention is preferably derived from human UDG and has an amino acid substitution or modification at position 147.

35 Modification of UDG from other species at an equivalent residue is similarly preferred. Especially preferably,

the glycosylase is human UDG having a alanine residue (Ala) at position 147.

A yet further aspect of the invention provides a uracil-DNA glycosylase encoded by a nucleic acid molecule comprising the sequence (SEQUENCE I.D Nos 1 and 2) :

```

1  CACAGCCACA GCCAGGGCTA GCCTCGCCGG TTCCCGGGTG GCGCGCGTTC GCTGCCTCCT
10  61  CAGCTCCAGG ATGATCGGCC AGAAGACGCT CTACTCCCTT TTCTCCCCCA GCCCCGCCAG
      M I G Q K T L Y S F F S P S P A

15  121  GAAGCGACAC GCCCCCAGCC CCGAGCCGGC CGTCCAGGGG ACCGGCGTGG CTGGGGTGCC
      R K R H A P S P E P A V Q G T G V A G V

20  181  TGAGGAAAGC GGAGATCGGG CGGCCATCCC AGCCAAGAAG GCCCCGGCTG GGCAGGGAGGA
      P E E S G D A A A I P A K K A P A G Q E

25  241  GCCTGGGACG CCGCCCTCCT CGCCGCTGAG TGCCGAGCAG TTGGACCGGA TCCAGAGGAA
      E P G T P P S S P L S A E Q L D R I Q R

30  301  CAAGGCCGCG GCCCTGCTCA GACTCGCGGC CCGCAACGTG CCCGTGGGCT TTGGAGAGAG
      N K A A A L L R L A A R N V P V G F G E

35  361  CTGGAAGAAG CACCTCAGCG GGGAGTTCGG GAAACCGTAT TTTATCAAGC TAATGGGATT
      S W K K H L S G E F G K P Y F I K L M G

40  421  TGTTCAGAAA GAAAGAAAGC ATTACACTGT TTATCCACCC CCACACCAAG TCTTCACCTG
      F V A E E R K H Y T V Y P P P H Q V F T

45  481  GACCCAGATG TGTGACATAA AAGATGTGAA GGTTGTCATC CTGGGACAGG ATCCATATCA
      W T Q M C D I K D V K V V I L G Q D P Y

50  541  TGGACCTAAT CAAGCTCACG GGCTCTGCTT TAGTGTTCAA AGGCCTGTT CGCCTCCGCC
      H G P N Q A H G L C F S V Q R P V P P P

55  601  CAGTTGGAG AACATTTATA AAGAGTTGTC TACAGACATA GAGGATTTG TTCATCCTGG
      P S L E N I Y K E L S T D I E D F V H P

60  661  CCATGGAGAT TTATCTGGGT GGGCCAAGCA AGGTGTTCTC CTTCTCAACG CTGTCCTCAC
      G H G D L S G W A K Q G V L L L N A V L

65  721  GGTTCGTGCC CATCAAGCCA ACTCTCATAA GGAGCGAGGC TGGGAGGAGT TCACTGATGC
      T V R A H Q A N S H K E R G W E Q F T D

70  781  AGTTGTGTCC TGGCTAAATC AGAACTCGAA TGGCCTTGTGTT TTCTTGCTCT GGGGCTCTTA
      A V V S W L N Q N S N G L V F L L W G S

75  841  TGCTCAGAAG AAGGGCAGTG CCATTGATAG GAAGCGGCAC CATGTACTAC AGACGGCTCA
      Y A Q K K G S A I D R K R H H V L Q T A

```

- 9 -

901 TCCCTCCCT TTGTCAGTGT ATAGAGGGTT CTTGGATGT AGACACTTTT CAAAGACCAA
H P S P L S V Y R G F F G C R H F S K T
5 961 TGAGCTGCTG CAGAAGTCTG GCAAGAAGCC CATTGACTGG AAGGAGCTGT GATCATCAGC
N E L L Q K S G K K P I D W K E L
10 1021 TGAGGGGTGG CCTTTGAGAA GCTGCTGTTA ACGTATTG CAGTTACGAA GTTCCACTGA
1081 AAATTTCCCT ATTAATTCTT AAGTACTCTG CATAAGGGGG AAAAGCTTCC AGAAAGCAGC
1141 CATGAACCAAG GCTGTCCAGG AATGGCAGCT GTATCCAACC ACAAAACAACA AAGGCTACCC
1201 TTTGACCAAA TGTCTTTCTC TGCAACATGG CTTCGGCCTA AAATATGCAG AAGACAGATG
1261 1261 AGGTCAAATA CTCAGTTGGC TCTCTTTATC TCCCTTGCTT TTATGGTCAA ACAGGGGAGA
1321 TGTGCACCTT TCAGGCACAG CCCTAGTTG GCGCCTGCTG CTCCTGGTT TTGCCTGGTT
1381 1381 AGACTTCAG TGACAGATGT TGGGGTGTGTT TTGCTTAGAA AGGTCCCCTT GTCTCAGCCT
20 1441 TGCAGGGCAG GCATGCCAGT CTCTGCCAGT TCCACTGCC CTTGATCTT TGAAGGAGTC
1501 CTCAGGCCCC TCGCAGCATA AGGATGTTT GCAACTTTCC AGAATCTGGC CCAGAAATTA
25 1561 GGGCTCAATT TCCTGATTGT AGTAGAGGTT AAGATTGCTG TGAGCTTTAT CAGATAAGAG
1621 ACCGAGAGAA GTAAGCTGGG TCTTGTATT CCTTGGGTGT TGGTGAATA AGCAGTGGAA
1681 1681 TTTGAACAAG GAAGAGGAGA AAAGGGAAATT TTGCTTTAT GGGGTGGGGT GATTTCTCC
30 1741 TAGGGTTATG TCCAGTTGGG GTTTTAAGG CAGCACAGAC TGCCAAGTAC TGTGTTTTTT
1801 AACCGACTGA AATCACTTTG GGATATTTTT TCCTGCAACA CTGGAAAGTT TTAGTTTTTT
35 1861 AAGAAGTACT CATGCAGATA TATATATATA TATTTTCCC AGTCCTTTT TTAAGAGACG
1921 GTCTTTATTG GGTCTGCACC TCCATCCTTG ATCTTGTAG CAATGCTGTT TTTGCTGTTA
1981 1981 GTCGGGTTAG AGTTGGCTCT ACGCGAGGTT TGTTAATAAA AGTTTGTAA AAGTTCAAAA
40 2041 AAAAAAAA AAA

or a fragment thereof encoding a catalytically active
product comprising at least nucleotides 121 to 130,
45 preferably 71 to 202 in addition to the catalytic
domain, or a sequence which is degenerate, substantially
homologous with or which hybridizes with at least
nucleotides 121 to 130, preferably 71 to 202 of any such
aforesaid sequence. Preferably such degeneracy,
50 homology or hybridization applies to the entire

sequence.

"Catalytically active product" as used herein refers to any product encoded by said sequence which exhibits uracil DNA glycosylase activity.

5 "Substantially homologous" as used herein includes those sequences having a sequence homology of approximately 60% or more, eg. 70% or 80% or more, and also functionally-equivalent allelic variants and related sequences modified by single or multiple base 10 substitution, addition and/or deletion. By "functionally equivalent" in this sense is meant nucleotide sequences which encode catalytically active polypeptides, ie. having uracil DNA glycosylase activity.

15 Sequences which "hybridize" are those sequences binding under non-stringent conditions (eg. 6 x SSC 50% formamide at room temperature) and washed under conditions of low stringency (eg. 2 x SSC, room temperature, more preferably 2 x SSC, 42°C) or 20 conditions of higher stringency (eg. 2 x SSC, 65°C) (where SSC = 0.15M NaCl, 0.015M sodium citrate, pH 7.2). Generally speaking, sequences which hybridize under 25 conditions of high stringency are included within the scope of the invention, as are sequences which, but for the degeneracy of the code, would hybridize under high stringency conditions.

30 The significance of the UNG1, UNG2 presequences has also been investigated in the present invention, by the use of constructs that express fusion products of UNG1 or UNG2 and green fluorescent protein (EGFP).

Surprisingly, significant effects on subcellular targeting were observed and after transient transfection of HeLa cells, the pUNG1-EGFP-N1 product co-localized 35 with mitochondria whereas the pUNG2-EGFP-N1 product targeted exclusively to nuclei. Whilst not wishing to be bound by theory, it appears that these sequences may be instrumental in the localization of the enzymes. The

- 11 -

putative nuclear signal was identified as RKRH which also appears in the catalytic domain of both UNG1 and UNG2. Whilst it was recognized previously by Slupphaug et al., 1993, Nucl. Acids Res., 21(11), p2579-2584, that the signal for mitochondrial translocation resides in the UNG1 presequence, it was believed that the signal for nuclear import lay within the mature protein as in the absence of the presequence, UNG1 was transported to the nucleus. However, UNG2 has now been identified which has a presequence and which localizes to the nucleus. These presequences thus have utility for directing the subcellular localization of molecules attached to them.

Thus, viewed from a further aspect, the invention provides nuclear localization peptides encoded by a nucleic acid molecule comprising the sequence (SEQUENCE I.D. Nos 3 and 4):

ATGATCGGCC AGAAGACGCT CTACTCCTTT TTCTCCCCCA GCCCCGCCAG
20 M I G Q K T L Y S F F S P S P A

GAAGCGACAC GCCCCCAGCC CCGAGCCGGC CGTCCAGGGG ACCGGCGTGG CTGGGGTGCC
R K R H A P S P E P A V Q G T G V A G V

25 TGAGGAAAGC GGAGATGCGG CG
P E E S G D A A

or a fragment thereof encoding a functional equivalent or a sequence which is degenerate, substantially homologous with or which hybridizes with any such aforesaid sequence.

Functionally equivalent fragments refer to products which may serve as appropriate localization peptides. 35 Especially preferred nuclear localizing peptides are those which include the amino acid sequence RKRH.

A further preferred feature of the invention comprises DNA glycosylases of the invention which additionally comprise at least one of the aforesaid 40 nuclear localization peptide sequences or at least one

- 12 -

mitochondrial localization peptide sequence encoded by a nucleic acid molecule comprising the sequence (SEQUENCE I.D. Nos 5 and 6):

5 ATGGGGCTCT TCTGCCCTTGG GCCGTGGGG TTGGGCCGGA AGCTGCGGAC GCCTGGGAAG
 M G V F C L G P W G L G R K L R T P G K

GGGCGCGCTGC AGCTCTTGAG CCGCCTCTGC GGGGACCACT TGCAG
 G P L Q L L S R L C G D H L Q

10 or a fragment thereof encoding a functional equivalent or a sequence which is degenerate, substantially homologous with or which hybridizes with any such aforesaid sequence, e.g. CDG or TDG with a localization peptide. Such a composite may be prepared for example by appropriate modification of UNG1 or UNG2.

15 The novel DNA glycosylases of the invention conveniently may be obtained by modification of existing DNA glycosylase enzymes, such as the human UDG mentioned above. Such modification, for example by replacement, addition or deletion of one or more amino acid residues, or indeed chemical modification of amino acid residues, may readily be achieved using methods well known in the art and include modifications both at the protein level and also at the level of the encoding nucleic acid. For example, site-directed mutagenesis techniques are widely described in the literature. Other conventional mutagenesis treatments which may be used to obtain enzymes according to the invention include random or regional random mutagenesis by chemical agents, such as N-nitroso compounds, or physical agents, such as ultraviolet light, as well as random or regional random mutagenesis by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) methods. Regional random mutagenesis may be carried out by subcloning one or more relevant DNA sequences encoding segments of the starting protein e.g. UDG, followed by random mutagenesis on this fragment or fragments. After the fragments have been mutagenized they may be reinserted into a DNA sequence encoding the starting

protein e.g. UDG. Screening of individual colonies for novel DNA glycosylases of the invention may then be performed using assay methods described herein.

5 Alternatively, the novel DNA glycosylases of the invention may be obtained by other techniques, for example polypeptide synthesis, construction of fusion proteins etc.

10 DNA glycosylase activity may readily be assayed according to techniques well known in the art, see for example Slupphaug et al. (1995) *Biochemistry*, 34: 128-138, and Nedderman & Jiricny, *supra*. Assays for DNA glycosylase may be used for identifying enzymes according to the invention. The enzymes may be naturally occurring or formed as the result of 15 manipulations of naturally occurring gene sequences or products. Thus, for example, a cell-free extract may be assayed using a thymine or cytosine-containing substrate to identify enzymes which perform excision of one or more of the bases. For the purposes of assessment, the 20 cytosine and thymine bases in the substrates are conveniently labelled, for example fluorescent or radiolabelled e.g. with ^3H . Suitable substrates may be prepared by methods known in the art e.g. by nick translation, random priming, PCR or chemical synthesis. 25 To ascertain if the enzymes are also capable of excising uracil, substrates including uracil may also be used. Conveniently, the uracil bases should be labelled to allow detection. Assays for the excision of different bases are preferably performed independently.

30 Thus, viewed from a yet further aspect, the invention provides an assay for the identification of DNA glycosylases of the invention in a sample, in which said assay comprises at least the step of assaying for activity in the sample which is capable of excising 35 thymine or cytosine and optionally also uracil from an introduced ssDNA and/or dsDNA substrate. Optionally, the moiety responsible for such activity may be

- 14 -

isolated. Suitable assays are described herein and are also known in the art.

DNA glycosylases of the invention include modifications of human UDG by amino acid replacement, as mentioned above, especially at positions 204 and 147. Such amino acid-substituted mutants of human UDG may also comprise additional modifications, for example truncation from the N- and/or C-terminal, or chemical derivation of amino acid residues and/or addition, deletion or mutation of constituent residues which do not affect the overall specificity of the enzyme.

Derivatives of UDG or other DNA glycosylase enzymes from other genera or species, having the CDG or TDG functional activity mentioned above, are also included within the scope of the invention. It will be appreciated that appropriate modification of such enzymes would be performed on comparable residues to those in the human enzyme which form part of the active site and which could be identified by methods known in the art, e.g. by sequence comparison to human UDG and/or by mutation of residues which are identified as potentially conferring specificity to the enzyme and subsequent substrate specificity analyses of the mutant enzymes thus obtained.

The novel DNA glycosylases of the invention may have a number of uses, for example as tools in molecular biology procedures, most notably in mutagenesis, both in vitro and in vivo, but also in other areas such as cell killing, removal of contaminating DNA, random degradation of DNA, enzymatic DNA sequencing etc.

In light of the identification of mitochondrial and nuclear localizing peptides, it is now possible to direct human uracil-DNA glycosylase either to nuclei or to mitochondria by making constructs containing either a nuclear localization signal, such as in UNG2, or a mitochondrial localization signal, such as in UNG1, as mentioned above. Whilst this alone may be used to

- 15 -

mutate RNA in the cells, this is particularly useful in combination with site directed mutations that give rise to mutants that have either TDG activity or CDG activity because it allows for selective mutagenesis of nuclear 5 DNA or mitochondrial DNA. Furthermore, it is useful in a system where either nuclear or mitochondrial DNA is the target for degradation for the purpose of killing cells, eg. cancer cells.

As mentioned above, DNA glycosylases according to 10 the invention may be used in a mutagenesis system both in vitro and in vivo. These proteins have numerous advantages over typical chemical mutagens, particularly regarding their ease of use. Small molecular mutagens, such as methylnitrosurea (MNU), methylmethanesulfonate 15 (MMS) or methylnitrosoguanidine (MNNG) are very toxic on contact with eyes, skin or mucosal membranes and may decompose to explosive and volatile toxic compounds. Other mutagens, such as dimethylnitrosamine and 20 benzo(a)pyrene require metabolic activation by special enzymes that are only present in some cells. They can therefore only be used under certain experimental conditions and will often require the addition of a fraction containing activating enzymes. All these chemical mutagens therefore require specialised 25 precautions in order to protect the user. One major advantage of DNA glycosylases according to the invention is that they are not volatile and are not harmful to the user, for example, by mere skin contact.

Mutagenesis in vitro may be performed on a complex 30 sample, e.g. a cell-free extract, a partially refined sample, e.g. nucleic-acid enriched or purified sample or on a single population of nucleic acid material, e.g. amplified nucleic acid material. Random mutation may be performed using selected DNA glycosylases of the 35 invention (possibly in combination with one another and/or with known DNA glycosylases), to release particular bases or combinations of bases from the

- 16 -

nucleic acid substrate. Removal of the resulting abasic site and replacement of the removed base with another base may be performed by provision of appropriate enzymes and bases.

5 Specific mutagenesis may be performed in a number of ways. Depending on the specificity of the DNA glycosylase for ssDNA or dsDNA, either one or the other type of DNA may be targeted. One application of such a method may be to introduce labelled bases into the
10 target DNA to identify its presence or amount in the total nucleic acid material. Alternatively, the substrate which is uniquely recognizable (e.g. dsDNA) may be made sensitive to digestion or degradation after release of the appropriate base by DNA glycosylase
15 activity when replacement of the base has not been performed. This may then be used to remove certain ss- or ds-DNA from a sample. Such an application is discussed in more detail hereinafter.

20 Another application involves the introduction of selected bases after release of the specific bases recognized by the DNA glycosylase. In this way, replacement of specific bases by specific other bases may be performed. It is known from the art that the human UDG has sequence specificity for uracil excision
25 in the sequence surrounding the uracil base (Slupphaug et al., 1995, *supra*). Appropriate selection of enzyme concentrations and other determinants may be employed to excise specific bases from known sequences or alternatively, by replacement with appropriately
30 labelled bases, to determine the presence of such sequences in nucleic acid samples.

35 For mutagenesis in vivo, e.g. in a cell, a nucleotide sequence encoding a DNA glycosylase according to the invention under the control of an suitable expression vector may be introduced into the cell by any suitable means, for example, by transformation or through the use of liposomes.

A further aspect of the present invention thus provides a nucleic acid molecule comprising a nucleotide sequence which encodes a DNA glycosylase and/or nuclear localizing peptide of the invention as defined above.

5 Such nucleic acid molecules may readily be prepared using conventional techniques well known in the art. Thus, for example, as already mentioned above, known gene sequences coding for DNA glycosylases, e.g. the UNG gene mentioned above, may be modified e.g. by nucleic

10 acid substitution using standard techniques such as site-directed mutagenesis.

In further aspects the invention also provides an expression vector containing a nucleic acid molecule of the invention, and transformed or transfected host cells carrying a nucleic acid molecule of the invention.

15 The expression vector may be any conventional expression vector known in the art or described in the literature, including both phage and plasmid vectors. In general, these will comprise suitable regulatory sequences e.g. a promoter and/or enhancer operably connected to a gene expressing the enzyme. Suitable promoters include SV40 early or late promoter, e.g. PSVL vector, cytomegalovirus (CMV) promoter and mouse mammary tumour virus long terminal repeat, although preferably 20 inducible promoters are used, e.g. mouse metallothionein I promoter. The vector preferably includes a suitable marker such as a gene for dihydrofolate reductase or glutamine synthetase. The expression vector may for example be an inducible vector, such as the E. Coli 25 vector pTrc99A (See Slupphaug (supra)) inducible with isopropyl β -D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG). Other suitable expression vectors include any vector carrying 30 an inducible promoter, such as lac, or bacteriophage lambda λ P_L , in which the promoter is under the control of a temperature sensitive repressor (cI). Examples of 35 such vectors are pKK223-2 and p P_L .Lambda Inducible (from Pharmacia). The DNA glycosylases of the invention may

also be expressed as fusion proteins. The expression of such fusion proteins may facilitate purification e.g. by using a system such as the GST-gene fusion systems, exemplified by the pGEX vector systems (Pharmacia) or 5 the fusion proteins with peptide sequences that are recognized by specific antibodies, exemplified by the FLAG Expression vectors (Kodak).

The host cell may likewise be any suitable host cell known in the art, including both eukaryotic e.g. yeast, 10 mammalian and plant cells, and prokaryotic cells, e.g. bacteria.

Transfection and transformation techniques are also well known in the art as described for example in 15 Sambrook et al. (1989), Molecular Cloning : A laboratory manual, 2nd Ed., Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring harbor, N.Y.) as indeed are other techniques for introducing nucleic acids into cells, for example 20 using calcium phosphate, DEAE dextran, polybrene, protoplast fusion, liposomes, electroporation, direct microinjection, gene cannon etc.

Expression of the DNA glycosylase according to the invention results in the release of C or T from the cellular DNA, which may lead to transition mutations upon replication.

25 Mutagenesis of cells, e.g. mammalian cells, may also be performed by introduction of the DNA glycosylase protein of the invention into the cell. This may be performed using for example liposomes or other appropriate techniques known in the art.

30 TDG or CDG may also be used to specifically induce mutations either in the cell nucleus or mitochondria of eukaryotic cells. This may be carried out by expressing cDNA with the complete open reading frame of UNG2, but with a site directed mutation in codon 204 (preferably 35 Asn204Asp) or in codon 147 (preferably Tyr147Ala), in which the N-terminal amino acid sequence contains a nuclear localization signal, as described previously, to

obtain mutations in the nuclear DNA, or by expressing a cDNA expressing the complete reading frame of UNG1, in which the N-terminal amino acid sequence contains a mitochondrial localization signal, as described
5 previously, with similar site directed mutations to those mentioned above, to specifically obtain mitochondrial mutations. For this purpose any expression vector applicable to eukaryotic cells may be used, but preferably the vector system should be
10 inducible. To introduce the expression vectors into the cells, any method for transfection may be used. Alternatively, the same proteins may be expressed and purified and then introduced into the cells by liposome technology or other appropriate techniques in the art as
15 mentioned previously.

Combined in vitro/in vivo mutagenesis may also be performed. For example, an isolated restriction fragment of interest (or possibly the whole plasmid) may be treated with limited amounts of cytosine-DNA
20 glycosylase or thymine-DNA glycosylase. Subsequently, the treated fragment may be reinserted into a vector and transformed into E. coli cells (the cells may also be pre-treated with a DNA damaging agent to ensure an error-prone SOS-repair). As a result of the
25 mutagenicity of AP-sites, this should yield random mutations.

The Examples below describe the induction of mutations in bacterial cells by the expression within such cells of a DNA glycosylase ie. a CDG or TDG
30 according to the present invention. Expression of the DNA glycosylases of the invention in the transformed cells causes an increase in mutation frequencies. Similar results may be obtained with other cells. To enhance mutagenesis, strains may be used, including both
35 prokaryotic and eukaryotic strains, which are defective in the repair of AP-sites or are otherwise hypermutable e.g. bacterial mutants that are defective

in endonuclease IV or exonuclease III, or both, or other mutants that similarly enhance the yield of mutations.

Thus, the use of one or more DNA glycosylases according to the invention in in vitro and/or in vivo 5 mutagenesis systems provide yet further aspects of this invention.

Another use of DNA glycosylases of the invention involves DNA modification. By treating any type of DNA (single or double-stranded) in vitro with a DNA 10 glycosylase according to the invention, naturally- occurring C or T will be released, thus leaving an apyrimidic site (AP-site). Subsequent treatment of this DNA with alkaline solutions or enzymes such as apurinic/apyrimidinic-site endonucleases (AP- 15 endonucleases) recognising AP-sites will cause breaks in the DNA at the AP-sites. This method may therefore be used for the random cleavage of DNA. The number of cleaved sites will depend on the amount of the DNA 20 glycosylases according to the invention used, thus allowing the number of AP-sites and hence breaks to be controlled. Uses of such methods include the removal of possible contaminating DNA prior to PCR amplification 25 and for the enzymatic sequencing of DNA. The random cleavage of DNA can also be used for producing randomly fragmented DNA of defined size ranges for different purposes, for example for efficient hybridization of DNA, for preparing genomic libraries or for removal of 30 high-molecular weight viscous DNA.

One advantage of using a DNA glycosylase according 35 to the invention in such methods is that in contrast to nucleases, DNA glycosylases do not require divalent cations and this is advantageous when buffers containing divalent cations are not desirable. A further advantage is that the DNA glycosylase may be inactivated by heating the reaction mixture to 80°C for 15 minutes, thus 35 eliminating or substantially reducing its activity.

Uracil-DNA glycosylase has previously been shown to

be efficient in removing contaminating DNA prior to PCR amplification. This method has the disadvantage that only DNA containing uracil could be removed and meant that uracil-containing DNA had to be prepared using 5 appropriate uracil-containing primers to obtain DNA which could be removed prior to amplification. One advantage of the DNA glycosylases according to the present invention is that they do not have this requirement as any contaminating DNA would be likely to 10 contain cytosine or thymine bases. Thus, CDG and/or TDG according to the invention may be added to a reaction mix and allowed to digest contaminating DNA. After treatment the enzymes/s are inactivated prior to the addition of the DNA sample and amplification to avoid 15 degradation of the template or product.

Thus a further aspect of the invention provides the use of one or more DNA glycosylases according to the present invention for removing contaminating DNA prior to PCR amplification. The use of one or more DNA 20 glycosylases according to invention in DNA modification provides a further aspect of the invention. The term "modification" as used herein refers to all forms of modifying or manipulating DNA, including cleavage, base substitution or insertion etc.

25 A DNA glycosylase according to the present invention may also be used in a method for the killing of cells. A DNA glycosylase according to the present invention may be introduced into specific target cells by means of known transformation techniques, liposomes, specific 30 targeting systems such as ligands that bind to specific receptors, or any other suitable techniques. The DNA glycosylase may be expressed in a tissue-specific manner by placing a tissue-specific promoter upstream of the DNA sequence encoding a DNA glycosylase according to the present invention. Examples of such tissue-specific 35 promoters are well known and are for example found in genes for a number of liver specific proteins such as

5 albumin, blood clotting factors and apolipoproteins; several hormones, such as human growth hormone from the pituitary gland and insulin from Langerhans islands in pancreas, as well as aromatase involved in the estrogen biosynthetic pathway; porphobilinogen deaminase which is the third enzyme in the heme biosynthetic pathway; glycoprotein IIb/IIIa which is expressed in maturing megakaryocytes; the Zeta subunit of T-cell antigen receptor (TCR) which is expressed in T-cells; CD14 expressed in monocytes and macrophages; villin expressed in certain epithelial tissues and tyrosinase expressed in melanocytes and melanomas. In some cases abnormal expression from tissue specific promoters has been observed in tumour cells, and this may be exploited by 10 using constructs of novel DNA glycosylases and the 15 relevant tissue specific promoter.

When the DNA glycosylase is expressed it may fragment the DNA in the cell and therefore kill the cell. Specific cells may also be targeted through the 20 use of promoters containing other control elements, for example, promoters which are controlled in a cell-cycle or temporal manner or those possessing regulatory elements responsive to internal or external factors, e.g. promoters activatable by specific inducers, e.g. 25 the inducer IPTG, which induces the lac promoter or lac derivatives such as trc, by certain metals (e.g. metallothionein promoter), by certain hormones such as dexamethasone, androgens (on for example the promoter of 30 the gene for prostate specific antigen which is tissue specific), retinol acid and certain cytokines.

Conceivably, where enzymes of the invention exhibit 35 specific substrate requirements in the sequence surrounding the base for excision, this specificity may be employed by appropriate low level expression of the DNA glycosylase such that only DNA with the specific sequence is made susceptible to degradation.

Thus a further aspect of the invention provides a

method of killing cells, comprising the steps of introducing a DNA glycosylase according to the present invention into a cell and expressing said DNA glycosylase in the cell to an extent which results in 5 the killing of that cell. Preferably, the DNA glycosylase according to the present invention is contained within an expression vector, most preferably, a tissue-specific expression vector.

A further use of DNA glycosylases of the invention 10 is for performing enzymatic DNA sequencing. This may be performed in a manner analogous to the chemical sequencing method of Maxam and Gilbert (Maxam and Gilbert (1980) *Methods in Enzymology*, 65: 499). However, the Maxam-Gilbert procedure involves the use of 15 several very toxic chemicals, such as dimethylsulfate (DMA) and hydrazine (the latter is also explosive) and use of the glycosylases of the invention present a considerable advantage. Enzymatic sequencing may be performed for example by end-labelling the sample DNA 20 fragment appropriately, for example with ^{32}P , ^{33}P or ^{35}S . For identifying the positions of cytosines and thymines in the DNA, the DNA is treated with limiting amounts of cytosine-DNA glycosylase and thymine-DNA glycosylase 25 according to the invention, respectively. The resulting AP-sites are then cleaved, e.g. by alkaline solution (pyridine) or by an AP-endonuclease. The resulting end-labelled fragments are subsequently separated e.g. by electrophoresis and the position of fragments of varying length identified appropriately, e.g. by 30 autoradiography. Ideally, the positions of adenines and guanines should be determined in the same way using adenine- or guanine-DNA glycosylases. At the present time such enzymes are not available. However, the *E. coli* DNA repair enzymes Tag and AlkA recognize adenine 35 alkylated in the 3-position (Tag, AlkA) and guanine alkylated in the 3-position (AlkA). Thus, one way of determining the positions of adenines and guanines may

be after alkylation of DNA with DMS, followed by treatment with AlkA and Tag. Subsequent experimental procedure may be performed as for determining the C and T positions.

5 Thus, a further aspect of the invention provides a method of performing enzymatic DNA sequencing to determine the position of cytosine and/or thymine bases by treating said DNA with at least one CDG and/or TDG of the invention.

10 The invention will now be described more specifically in the following non-limiting Examples with reference to the following drawings in which:

15 **FIGURE 1** comprises graphs showing *in vitro* excision of radiolabelled material from double stranded (ds) or single stranded (ss) [³H]cytosine-labelled DNA substrate (C-substrate) and [³H]thymine-labelled DNA substrate (T-substrate) by human UDG-mutants (CDG : Panel A, TDG : Panel B). The data represent mean values from two 20 independent experiments each in duplicate for each time point. Symbols in panel B of Figure 1 are as indicated in panel A;

25 **FIGURE 2** comprises graphs showing analysis of the radioactive excision products of substrate DNA by UDG (panel A) and UDG mutants TDG (Panel B) and CDG (Panel C), performed by thin layer chromatography. U-substrate is indicated by stars (★), other symbols are as in Figure 1. The migration of unlabelled standards (the 30 free bases uracil, cytosine or thymine) is indicated as rectangles, marked respectively U-marker, C-marker and T-marker over the relevant fraction numbers;

35 **FIGURE 3** shows a revised organisation of the human *UNG* gene. The restriction maps with *EcoRI*, *HindIII*, *SacI* and *XbaI* are indicated. Exons are shown as black boxes and are numbered by Roman numbers. Exon 1A is a

previously unrecognised exon. Interspersed repeats are indicated (-: Alu, .: MER, ♦: MIR, *: position of a 300 bp TA dinucleotide repeat);

5 **FIGURE 4** shows the generation of human UNG1 and UNG2 by transcription from two promoters and alternative splicing. P2 is the previously recognised promoter for transcription of UNG1 (Haug et al., 1994, FEBS Letters, 353, p180-184) and P1 the promoter from which UNG2 is transcribed. Exon 1A encodes 44 amino acids present in UNG2, but absent in UNG1. The 35 N-terminal codons of exon 1B are only present in UNG1. The presequence of UNG2 is shown on top with the putative nuclear localization signal underlined. The presequence of UNG1 directing mitochondrial import is shown in the bottom line;

20 **FIGURE 5** shows the structure of the 5'-terminal part of the human UNG gene (SEQUENCE I.D. No. 7). Bold letters indicate exons (1A and 1B);

25 **FIGURE 6** shows the alignment of UNG proteins from man and mouse (SEQUENCE ID Nos 8 (hUNG1), 9 (mUNG1), 2 (hUNG2) and 10 (mUNG2)). Note that UNG1 and UNG2 proteins have been aligned separately down to the common splice corresponding to codon 44 in human UNG2. The presequence not present in the catalytically active form of human placental uracil-DNA glycosylase originally isolated, residues 1-77 in human UNG1 (Wittwer et al., 1989, Biochemistry, 28, p780-784) is shown in bold letters. Downstream of the alternative splice site (↓) used for generating UNG2 forms (from 45 in human UNG2), the sequences of the two forms are identical in each species. Residues that make up walls of the uracil-binding pocket or which are directly involved in catalysis are marked with a star (★). Residues that are involved in DNA-binding (except those involved in

uracil-binding) are marked with a triangle (•); and

5 **FIGURE 7** shows the subcellular localization in HeLa cells of UNG2-EGFP-N1 and UNG1-EGFP-N1 fusion products. HeLa cells were transfected with constructs expressing pUNG2-EGFP-N1 (C), pUNG1-EGFP-N1 (D) or the control pEGFP-N1 (A), all expressed from the CMV promoter, and processed for confocal microscopy. Panel B shows staining of mitochondria with Texas red.

10

Example 1

Site directed mutagenesis of human UDG codons
Site directed mutagenesis was performed on the relevant codons in human UDG and the proteins expressed in
15 **Escherichia coli**.

10

Methods

Site-directed mutagenesis was carried out as in Mol et al., 1995, *supra*. To obtain the Tyr147Ala mutant, codon 147, TAT→Tyr, was changed to GCT→Ala, and to obtain the Asn204Asp mutant, codon 204, AAC→Asn, was changed to GAC→Asp. Mutated DNA fragments were subcloned into human UDG expression construct pTUNGA84 by replacing restriction fragments in the expression construct by fragments containing the respective mutations. In 20 **Escherichia coli** pTUNGA84 expresses high levels of a fully active human UDG (UNGΔ84) lacking 7 non-essential and non-conserved NH₂-terminal residues of the mature form of UDG (Mol et al., 1995, *supra*; Slupphaug et al., 1995, *supra*). Expression of mutant proteins in 25 **Escherichia coli** and purification of the mutant proteins to apparent homogeneity were carried out as described previously (Mol et al., 1995, *supra*; Slupphaug et al., 1995, *supra*). Relevant fractions were assayed for DNA 30 glycosylase activity during each step in the purification. As a result of high expression, 35 purification may also take advantage of the UV

absorption of the enzymes. Peaks of UV absorption corresponding to the enzyme of interest could already be observed after only the first two column steps.

5 To test enzymatic substrate specificities, 250 ng purified human "wild type" UDG (UNGΔ84), UNGΔ84Tyr147Ala or UNGΔ84Asn204Asp, were mixed with 200 ng ds- or ss- [³H]cytosine-labelled DNA (150mCi/mmol), or ds- or ss- [³H]thymine-labelled DNA (100mCi/mmol) in 10 mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM dithiothreitol and 0.5 mg/ml bovine serum albumin (final concentrations) in 20 μ l separate reactions. The final concentrations of the [³H]cytosine-DNA (C) and [³H]thymine-DNA (T) substrates were 6.5 μ M and 10 μ M, respectively. Release of radioactivity as a function of time was measured at 10 37°C. These conditions are later referred to as 15 standard conditions. Substrate synthesis and processing of samples for scintillation counting were as described in Krokan and Wittwer (1981) Nucl. Acids Res., 9: 2599- 20 2613. Single-stranded substrate was generated by boiling double-stranded substrate for 10 min, followed by rapid cooling on ice.

Results

25 Figure 1 demonstrates time-dependent release of acid-soluble radioactivity by homogeneous UNGΔ84Asn204Asp (CDG) from [³H]cytosine-labelled DNA, but not from [³H]thymine-labelled DNA. Conversely, the homogeneous UNGΔ84Tyr147Ala (TDG) mutant releases acid-soluble 30 radiolabelled material from [³H]thymine-labelled DNA, but not from [³H]cytosine-labelled DNA.

Example 2

Analysis of the radioactive excision products by thin 35 layer chromatography

Methods

The analysis was performed using DC-cellulose as the

stationary phase and methanol:HCl:H₂O - 70:20:10 as the mobile phase. Samples were prepared as follows: 1.5 µg enzyme (UNGΔ84, UNGΔ84Tyr147Ala or UNGΔ84Asn204Asp as prepared in Example 1) was incubated with 1 µg [³H]uracil-labelled DNA (500mCi/mmol), [³H]cytosine-labelled DNA (150mCi/mmol) or [³H]thymine-labelled DNA (100mCi/mmol) in separate 50 µl reactions under standard buffer conditions (see Example 1) for 1 hour. 5 Macromolecules in the samples were then ethanol precipitated, the supernatants after centrifugation were collected, ethanol was removed by evaporation and the remaining material was dissolved in 10 µl H₂O. 1µl was spotted on the membrane. After migration the cellulose sheet was cut in strips and radioactivity measured by 10 scintillation counting in Ready Protein scintillation 15 sheet was cut in strips and radioactivity measured by cocktail.

Results

Separation of the acid-soluble radioactive material by 20 thin layer chromatography (Figure 2) demonstrated that the released material was the free bases [³H]cytosine or [³H]thymine. Separation using another mobile phase (butanol:H₂O, 86:14) verified these results (data not shown). In addition, both mutants release [³H]uracil, 25 whereas "wild type" UDG (UNGΔ84) releases [³H]uracil only (Figure 2).

Example 3

Substrate specificity and uracil inhibition and kinetic properties of UDG-mutants

Methods

For measuring release of uracil from double-stranded (U-ds) or single-stranded (U-ss) DNA the various mutant enzymes (prepared as described in Example 1 and by 30 analogous site-directed mutagenesis methods and 35 identical expression and purification methods) were incubated with 200 ng ds- or ss- [³H]dUMP-labelled DNA

(500mCi/mmol, 2 μ M final concentration) in 20 μ l separate reactions for 10 min under standard conditions as described in Example 1. For measuring release of [3 H]cytosine or [3 H]thymine, assays were performed as 5 described in Example 1 using an incubation time of 10 min. Uracil inhibition was analysed by adding 5 mM uracil (final concentration) to a standard U-ds assay. 0 activity indicates activity below detection limit (10 pmol per mg protein per min) with 100 ng enzyme and 200 ng DNA substrate at standard conditions. 10

The kinetic parameters were determined using six different substrate concentrations to obtain the K_m and V_{max} values. Duplicate samples were incubated for 20 min 15 using standard assay buffer conditions and substrates as specified. K_m and V_{max} were calculated using the computer program Enzpack, version 3.0 after the method of Wilkinson (1961) Biochem. J., 80: 324-332. K_{cat} was calculated from V_{max} assuming an $M_r = 25000$. 20

Results
The results are shown in Tables 1 and 2. From Table 1 it can be seen that only the substitution Tyr147Ala results in an enzyme which specifically excises thymine. 25 Similarly, only the substitution Asn204Asp results in a mutant which excises cytosine. Both mutant enzymes exhibit activity on single or double-stranded DNA and are also able to excise uracil. From Table 2 it can be seen that the turnover numbers of CDG and TDG are lower 30 than for "wild type" release of uracil.

Discussion
These results demonstrate the significance of Asn204 for 35 specific binding of uracil-containing DNA and the significance of Tyr147 side chain ring structure for preventing binding of thymine.

It is somewhat surprising that the novel CDG of the invention still recognizes uracil, considering the unfavourable proximity of the Asp carboxyl side chain and the O4 atom in uracil. However, it should be noted
5 that the other oxygen atom of the Asp204 carboxyl side chain still may form H-bonds with N3 uracil and that Asp145 main chain carbonyl as well as the amide-N of Asp145 and Gln144 also contribute to the specificity.
10 In addition, the UDG activity remaining is very low (0.04-0.16%) compared with "wild type". CDG has a 10-fold increased preference for single stranded substrate, whereas TDG has a decreased preference (Figure 1 and Table 1).

15 It is evident that the turnover numbers (K_{cat}) of the novel enzymes releasing either cytosine or thymine, as well as residual UDG activities, are very low when compared with release of uracil by "wild type" UDG (Table 2). However, the very high turnover number of
20 UDG appears to be unique among DNA glycosylases and turnover numbers of other DNA glycosylases may be as low, or even lower than those of the engineered glycosylases CDG and TDG. Thus, a recent biochemical characterisation of recombinant N-methylpurine-DNA
25 glycosylase from mouse gave K_{cat} values of 0.8 min^{-1} and 0.2 min^{-1} for excision of 3-methyladenine and 7-methylguanine respectively (Roy et al. (1994) *Biochemistry*, 33: 15131-15140).
30 The Escherichia coli inducible 3-methyladenine-DNA glycosylase II (AlkA) is a DNA glycosylase that recognizes at least 6 different damaged bases, among these structurally different alkylated purines and pyrimidines. The turnover number for AlkA on the
35 substrate 3-methyladenine-DNA is calculated to be 0.03 min^{-1} (Bjelland et al. (1994) *J. Biol. Chem.*, 269: 30489-30495).

The FPG protein (formamido-pyrimidine-DNA glycosylase) also has a rather low turnover number. The K_{cat} value on the imidazole ring-opened form of 7-methylguanine-DNA substrate is calculated to 1.4 min^{-1} (Boiteux et al. 5 (1990) J. Biol. Chem., 265: 3916-3922). A low rate of catalysis is also likely for the naturally occurring T(U)/G-mismatch-DNA glycosylase since band shifts can be demonstrated after mixing the enzyme with substrate (Sassanfar & Roberts (1990) J. Mol. Biol., 212: 79-96). 10

All of these DNA glycosylases recognize at least two different substrates, and in most cases several damaged pyrimidines or purines. Probably the very high turnover number of UDG reflects a high selectivity of substrate binding in a tight fitting active site, allowing rapid 15 catalysis by this specialized enzyme. In contrast, the DNA glycosylases with a broader substrate specificity may bind substrate less accurately, and excise the base, more slowly. 20

TABLE 1

25	Mutant	pmol excised per min per mg protein						Inhibition 5mM Uracil %
		U-ds	U-ss	C-ds	C-ss	T-ds	T-ss	
	«Wild type»	4.7×10^7	9.5×10^7	0	0	0	0	80
	Gln144Leu	3.4×10^4	4.8×10^4	0	0	0	0	25
	Asp145Glu	5.5×10^4	8.5×10^4	0	0	0	0	80
	Asp145Asn	1.4×10^4	1.1×10^4	0	0	0	0	80
30	Tyr147Ala	2.2×10^4	2.2×10^4	0	0	1.3×10^3	7.5×10^2	0
	Tyr147Phe	3.2×10^7	6.3×10^7	0	0	0	0	50
	Ser169Ala	3.1×10^6	5.6×10^6	0	0	0	0	80
	Asn204Asp	1.7×10^4	1.6×10^5	3.0×10^2	3.0×10^3	0	0	0
	Asn204Gln	1.5×10^6	2.2×10^6	0	0	0	0	70
35	His268Leu	1.3×10^5	2.6×10^5	0	0	0	0	75

TABLE 2

5	Mutant	Substrate											
		C-ds		C-ss		T-ds		T-ss		U-ds		U-ss	
		K_m	K_{cat}										
«Wild type»		(μ M)	(min^{-1})										
	Tyr147Ala					6.0	0.06	1.4	0.02	3.5	1.0	0.30	0.6
10	Tyr147Phe	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.16	1225	0.10	2370
	Asn204Asp	35	0.12	5.3	0.39	-	-	-	-	2.4	1.2	2.0	15
	Asn204Gln	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.40	66	0.23	89

15 Example 4

Effects of TDG and CDG activity on frequency of rifampicin resistant mutations in *E. coli ung*⁺ strain (NR8051) and *E. coli ung*⁻ strain (NR8052)

Methods

20 An overnight culture of *E. coli* strains NR8051 and NR8052 (both *recA*⁺, provided by Tomas Kunkel of National Institute of Environmental Health, USA) containing plasmids pTrc99A, pTUNGA84, UNGA84Tyr147Ala and UNGA84Asn204Asp were prepared as described in

25 Example 1 and grown in LB-medium with ampicillin (100 μ g/ml) at 30°C. The culture was then diluted 1:20 in fresh medium and cultured for 5 hours at 37°C. Induced culture contained 1 mM IPTG in the LB-medium. To determine the number of rifampicin resistant bacteria,

30 100 μ l of the culture were mixed with 3 ml top agarose and poured on LB plates containing 100 μ g/ml rifampicin and incubated overnight at 37°C. Colonies were counted and the number of rifampicin resistant colonies per 10^8 viable cells was calculated.

35

Results

The results are shown in Table 3. These results

indicate that the expression of UDG does not cause an increase in mutation frequencies (plasmid pTUNGΔ84 compared to parental pTrc99A). In fact, human UDG complements *E. coli* ung⁻ cells. This is clear from the reduction in mutation frequencies from 4.4 to 1.3 when UDG is present in induced cells. Uninduced cells are also protected as a result of promoter leakage. In contrast, the mutation frequencies of *E. coli* ung⁺ cells are increased by a factor of 8.6 and 39 when carrying plasmids encoding CDG and TDG, respectively, compared to host cells carrying the parental plasmid pTrc99A. This increases to approximately 8.9 and 94.4 respectively, in induced ung⁺ cells.

15 **Discussion**

Single amino acid substitutions transform the highly uracil-selective uracil-DNA glycosylase into less selective DNA glycosylases that attack normal pyrimidines and confer a mutator phenotype upon the cell, presumably because excess numbers of apyrimidinic-sites are formed.

It may seem surprising that propagation of plasmids expressing CDG or TDG activity is at all possible, since they might be expected to kill the host cells. We believe that the relatively low turnover numbers and the low expression in the absence of inducer (IPTG) is sufficient to reduce the number of depyrimidinations to a level that the DNA repair system can cope with. Nevertheless, DNA degradation is detectable even in the absence of inducer and is strongly increased when IPTG is added (data not shown). The survival of *Escherichia coli* recA⁺ host cells carrying uninduced CDG or TDG-plasmid is equal to that of the parental cell carrying plasmid pTrc99A (data not shown) although mutation frequencies are increased by a factor of 8.6 and 39 for CDG and TDG, respectively as mentioned above.

Induction of CDG or TDG by IPTG reduces survival of the Escherichia coli host cells (in both NR8051 and NR8052) to less than 50% and 10%, respectively, within 5 hrs. Thus, AP-site repair capacity is sufficient for repair 5 of damage caused by expression of CDG or TDG due to "leakage" from the uninduced promoter. However, this repair is apparently not complete, or may be inaccurate, since the frequency of mutations leading to rifampicin resistance is significantly increased by 10 induction with IPTG (Table 3). The activity of TDG in vivo leads to a 10-fold higher mutation frequency in Escherichia coli than the in vivo CDG activity. This probably reflects the fact that TDG has a higher 15 activity on dsDNA than CDG, as demonstrated by in vitro experiments with homogeneous enzyme (Figure 1), and that the K_m value for TDG on dsDNA is much lower than the K_m for CDG on dsDNA (Table 2). We have observed that TDG and CDG are both highly cytotoxic in a *recA*⁻ background (Escherichia coli DH5 α) even without 20 induction (data not shown). It is likely that this cytotoxic effect is due to a lack of SOS-induction in *recA*⁻ cells. The chemical nature of the SOS-inducing signal, or signals, is not fully known, and some DNA 25 lesions may indirectly activate the SOS response by interfering with DNA replication (Sassanfar & Roberts, 1990, *supra*). If generation of AP-sites by TDG and CDG directly or indirectly triggers SOS-induction, this would increase cell survival, at the cost of error 30 prone repair and a high yield of mutations. CDG and TDG should be very useful for exploring the biological consequences of AP-sites in DNA.

The new DNA glycosylases that we have engineered are 35 distinctly different from previously known glycosylases. The mismatch-specific thymine-DNA glycosylase previously reported also releases uracil (Sassanfar & Roberts, 1990, *supra*; Nedderman & Jiricny,

1993, *supra*), like the thymine-DNA glycosylase we have constructed. However while the naturally occurring thymine-DNA glycosylase has an absolute requirement for a mismatched U or T opposite of a G, the TDG we have 5 engineered recognises T or U from T(U):A matches, as well as from single stranded substrate. A DNA glycosylase recognizing unmodified cytosine had previously not been reported.

10 The mutator phenotype caused by a single amino acid substitution is intriguing since it changes an enzyme from its normal role in mutation avoidance into a cytotoxic mutator protein. In the case of CDG this change is the result of a single A→G transition, which 15 in vivo could be the result of several different events, such as deamination of A, O4-alkylation of T in the complementary strand, and replication errors. Since this mutation would be dominant, only one allele would need to be mutated to get a new phenotype. It is 20 possible, however, that this mutation would be lethal, or that it would be without serious consequences due to efficient repair of DNA in mammalian cells. Nevertheless, the generation of repair enzymes having a 25 dominant mutator effect that would give the cells a hypermutable phenotype may represent a new principle in mutagenesis.

TABLE 3

30 Frequency of rif^R mutations per 10⁸ cells

	Plasmid	NR8051		NR8052	
		Uninduced	Induced	Uninduced	Induced
	pTRC99A	0.8 ± 0.3	0.9 ± 0.5	4.2 ± 1.2	4.4 ± 1.1
35	pTUNGΔ84	0.7 ± 0.4	0.8 ± 0.3	0.9 ± 0.2	1.3 ± 0.4
	pTUNGΔ84Tyr147Ala	31 ± 8	85 ± 32	13 ± 5	57 ± 9
	pTUNGΔ84Asn204Asp	6.9 ± 4.1	8.0 ± 3.4	2.1 ± 0.7	4.1 ± 1.1

Example 5Effects of TDG activity on the frequency of rifampicin resistant mutations in *E. coli* strains BW527 and GW2100 (umuC⁻)

5 An overnight culture of *E. coli* strains BW527 (endoIV⁻) or GW2100 (umuC⁻) provided by Erling Seeberg, The National Hospital, Oslo, containing plasmids pTrc99A or UNG Δ 84Tyr147Ala (TDG) were prepared as described in Example 1 and grown in LB-medium with ampicillin (100 µg/ml) at 30°C. The culture was then diluted 1:20 in 10 fresh medium and cultured as described in Example 4.

Results

15 The results are shown in Table 4. These results indicate that the expression of UNG Δ 84Tyr147Ala (TDG) in *E. coli* strains BW527 (endoIV⁻) or GW2100 (umuC⁻) enhances the mutagenic effect of TDG compared to strains that do not carry these defects in the repair of AP-sites or defect in umuC especially after 20 induction with IPTG. pTrc99A alone did not exert this effect to any significant extent. Even more importantly, the background mutations in these strains are low and the effects of induction with IPTG is high, thus improving the usefulness of UNG Δ 84Tyr147Ala (TDG) 25 for mutagenesis when using more optimal strains.

30 These results are especially surprising in light of previous findings that mutants in umuC are generally difficult to mutate by some methods, for example by UV-light or by chemical challenge.

TABLE 4

Effects of TDG-activity on frequency of rifampicin
resistant mutations in *E. coli* strains BW527 and GW2100

5

		Frequency of rif ^R mutations per 10 ⁸ cells				
		BW527		GW2100		
		Plasmid	Uninduced	Induced	Uninduced	Induced
10	pTRC99A		0.06±0.02	0.07±0.03	0.24x10 ⁻³ ±0.1x10 ⁻³	6x10 ⁻³ ±5x10 ⁻³
	pTUNGΔ84Tyr147Ala		1.20±0.2	240±122	0.65±3829	84±28

Example 6

15 Isolation and characterisation of a nuclear form of uracil-DNA glycosylase

Materials and MethodsMaterials

20 Mouse embryonic carcinoma cDNA library, human liver cDNA library and NT2 neuronal precursor cell cDNA library were from Stratagene (La Jolla, CA, USA). All libraries were propagated in the Uni-ZAPTMXR vector using XL-1 blue as host. [α -³²P]dCTP, [³⁵S]methionine, 25 Rediprime random labelling kit and Hybond N+ filters were all from Amersham (UK). All sequencing primers were from MedProbe (Oslo, Norway). Dye terminator cycle sequencing ready reaction kit was from Applied Biosystems (Foster City, CA). The Dynazyme PCR kit was 30 purchased from Finnzymes Oy (Espoo, Finland). TNT in vitro transcription/translation rabbit reticulocyte lysate system kit, pGEM-T TA cloning kit, Alter Sites II in vitro Mutagenesis System, primers for sequencing from T3 and T7 promoters and T3 RNA polymerase were 35 from Promega (Madison, WI). The plasmid encoding the red-shifted variant of green fluorescent protein (pEGFP-N1) was from Clontech (Palo Alto, CA, USA).

Restriction enzymes were from New England Biolabs Inc. (Beverly, MA, USA).

Screening of cDNA libraries

5 All libraries were screened as recommended by the manufacturer, using ^{32}P -labelled UNG40 cDNA (Olsen et al., 1989, EMBO J., 8, p 3121-3125) as probe. Hybridization was carried out at 65°C overnight in 6 x 10 SSC, 5 x Denhardt's solution and 0.1% SDS. Filters were washed in 0.1 x SSC/0.5 % SDS at 65°C and autoradiographed. Three rounds of screening were done. In vivo excision of pBluescript phagemids from the Uni-ZAPTMXR vector was performed as recommended by the manufacturer.

15 Sequence analysis of clones

Sequencing was performed on an Applied Biosystems Model 373A DNA Sequencing System using the Dye terminator cycle sequencing ready reaction kit as recommended by the manufacturer. The sequences were analysed using the Auto Assembler software (Applied Biosystems).

25 In vitro transcription, uracil-DNA glycosylase assays and transient transfection of HeLa cells for promoter studies

20 In vitro transcription/translation was performed with the TNT transcription/translation system with $[^{35}\text{S}]$ methionine as recommended by the manufacturer, 30 using 200 ng of the expression constructs per 10 μl reaction volume. The mouse UNG1-pBluescript construct was transcribed from the T3 promoter in the pBluescript vector. The insert of mouse UNG2-pBluescript was amplified by the polymerase chain reaction using 35 Dynazyme PCR kit, ligated into the pGEM-T vector and transcribed from the T7 promoter. The human UNG2-pBluescript was transcribed from the T3 promoter after

SacI/NheI excision of a 79 bp fragment from the polylinker and the 5'-end of cDNA for UNG2. Human UNG1 cDNA was transcribed from the T7 promoter as previously described (Slupphaug et al., 1995, Biochemistry, 34, p128-138). The samples were run on a 12% denaturing sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel (SDS-PAGE). The gel was dried, autoradiographed overnight and scanned on an LKB Ultroscan XL Enhanced Laser Densitometer. Uracil-DNA glycosylase activity was measured in parallel samples of the *in vitro* transcription/translation assay mixture containing unlabelled amino acids (Slupphaug et al., 1995, *supra*). A construct containing both promoters (pGL2-ProAB) linked to the luciferase gene was prepared by insertion of a PvuII/MluI fragment (the enzymes cleave in positions 418 and 1035, respectively) from the promoter region of the UNG gene into the SmaI-MluI sites of pGL2-ProB. A promoter II-luciferase construct (pGL2-ProB) and transient transfection with Transfectam (Promega) have been described previously (Haug et al., 1994, FEBS Letters, 353, p 180-184).

Preparation of pUNG-EGFP-N1 fusion constructs and localization studies

UNG15 cDNA, which encodes UNG1, in pGEM7zf+ (pUNG15), (Slupphaug et al., 1995, *supra*; Olsen et al., 1989, *supra*) was digested with BcII, which cuts at bp 1019 in UNG15 cDNA, blunted with DNA polymerase I, (Klenow fragment), and ligated to an AgeI linker prepared from the oligonucleotide 5'-ACCGGTGCC-3' and its complementary copy. The religated pUNG15 containing the AgeI linker correctly ligated into the BcII site (verified by sequencing) was digested with RsrII, which cuts at bp 49 in UNG15 cDNA (Olsen et al., 1989, *supra*), blunted as above and finally digested with AgeI. The fragment was then ligated into pEGFP-N1 digested with SmaI (blunt) and AgeI. The construct was

- 40 -

sequenced to verify that the construct was in frame with the ATG of the EGFP-N1 fusion protein. The TGA stop codon of pUNG15 was changed to GGA by site-directed mutagenesis performed according to the 5 procedure provided by the manufacturer using ssDNA prepared with R408 phage. Potential pUNG1_{GGA}-EGFP-N1 constructs were screened by digestion with *Bcl*I (digests only unmutated plasmids) and verified by sequencing. The correct construct was named pUNG1- 10 EGFP-N1. cDNA for UNG2 (this example) in pBluescript was digested with *Nhe*I, which cuts 54 bp upstream of ATG, and *Eco*NI which cleaves the cDNAs in the sequence that is shared by cDNAs for UNG1 and UNG2 (positions 529 and 520, respectively). The resulting fragment of 15 interest (501 bp) was isolated and ligated to the 5155 bp fragment of *Nhe*I/*Eco*NI-digested pUNG1-EGFP-N1 to obtain pUNG2-EGFP-N1. Transient transfections of HeLa cells were done with the CaPO₄-method (Profection, Promega) according to the manufacturer's 20 recommendations. Confocal microscopy (BioRad MRC-600) of HeLa cells and staining of mitochondria with mouse anti human mitochondria antibody (MAB 1273, Chemicon) and Texas Red anti-mouse IgG (Vector) were performed as previously described (Nagelhus et al., 1995, Exptl. 25 Cell Res., 220, p 292-297). Examination of HeLa cells transfected with expression plasmids pEGFP-N1, pUNG1-EGFP-N1 or pUNG2-EGFP-N1 was carried out using an excitation wave length of 488 nm and emission wave length >515 nm at 16 hours after transfection 30

Results

A human NT2 neuronal precursor cell cDNA library and a mouse embryonic carcinoma cDNA library were screened and a new form of human uracil-DNA glycosylase (human 35 UNG2) encoded by the UNG gene, as well as the homologous cDNA from mouse (mouse UNG2) was identified. In addition the cDNA for the mouse homolog (encoding

mouse UNG1) of human UNG1 (Olsen et al., 1989, *supra*) was identified. cDNA for human UNG2 has an ORF encoding 44 N-terminal amino acids not found in human UNG1 whereas cDNA for human UNG1 has an ORF encoding 35 amino acids not found in human UNG2 (Figure 4). The two forms are identical in the rest of the amino acid presequence, which is not required for enzyme activity, as well as in the catalytic domain, altogether 269 identical consecutive amino acids. The sequence of the 269 amino acids common to UNG1 and UNG2, and the corresponding DNA sequence, is identical to amino acid residues 35-304 in Olsen et al., 1989, *supra*. cDNAs for human UNG2 and its mouse homolog, are apparently as abundant as UNG1 in cDNA libraries from proliferating cells since among 20 cDNA clones that were sequenced 10 were of the UNG2 type and 10 were similar to the previously known UNG1 type. Among 4 mouse cDNAs sequences, 3 were of the UNG2 type and 1 was of the UNG1 type. However, screening of a human hepatocyte library with UNG40 cDNA resulted in the isolation of 80 strongly hybridizing clones and sequencing of 14 of these demonstrated that they were all similar to the previously characterized cDNA for UNG1 or the cDNA UNG40 (Olsen et al., 1989, *supra*).

25 Comparison of the human cDNA for UNG2 with the recently published complete human UNG sequence (Haug et al., 1996, *Genomics*, 36, p408-416) revealed the presence of a previously unrecognised exon (exon 1A) located some 30 650 base pairs upstream of the previously identified exon 1 (hereinafter called exon 1B). A revised organization of the UNG gene is therefore presented in Figure 3. Exon 1B forms the leader sequence and codon 1-104 of the mRNA encoding the previously known form UNG1. The mRNA corresponding to the new human cDNA is 35 formed by joining exon 1A (encoding 44 amino acids) into a consensus splice site after codon 35 in exon 1B

after which the two human cDNAs are identical. The open reading frame of human UNG2 cDNA predicts a protein of 313 amino acids, as compared to 304 amino acids for UNG1. Genomic clones for the mouse homolog 5 of the UNG gene have also been isolated and sequenced. This has revealed that the splice sites for exons 3, 4, 10 5 and 6 in the UNG genes from mouse and man are in identical positions. Furthermore, PCR analyses have demonstrated that the rest of the mouse gene is structurally similar to the human gene, as expected 15 from the cDNA clones (data not shown).

Figure 4 shows how the alternative forms of mRNA for 15 UNG1 and UNG2 arise as deduced from human cDNAs and the corresponding UNG sequences and indicates the presence 20 of a putative nuclear localization signal of 4 basic residues (RKRH) in the N-terminal end of the new cDNA and putative mitochondrial localization signals in cDNA for UNG1. In addition, and now shown here, both human 25 cDNAs contain a putative nuclear localization signal (RKRHH) in the catalytic domain (residues 258-262 in the ORF of cDNA for UNG1). These residues are located at the surface of the enzyme between α -helix 7 and β -strand 4 (Mol et al., 1995, Cell, 80, p869-878).

Figure 5 shows the genomic structure of exons 1A and 25 1B, as well as the structure of the previously characterized promoter (hereinafter called promoter II), possible elements in the putative promoter 30 upstream of exon 1A (hereinafter called promoter I) and the alternative splice acceptor site (SEQUENCE I.D. No. 7). Promoter I probably starts after the 3'-terminal 35 end of two Alu-repeats (position 425) and ends immediately upstream of the start of exon 1A. However, it can not be excluded that the promoter is located upstream of the Alu-repeats. This would require the presence of an exon encoding a leader that would be

joined to exon 1A. This is considered unlikely since promoter motifs upstream of the Alu-repeats have not been detected and furthermore transcripts of the required size have also not been detected by Northern analyses (data not shown). Furthermore, the cDNA for UNG2 does not contain sequences from this upstream region.

Figure 6 shows an alignment of predicted amino acid presequences of the human and mouse enzymes (SEQUENCE I.D. Nos 2 and 8-10). Note that UNG1 proteins and UNG2 proteins have been aligned separately in the parts of the proteins that are derived from different exons (up to codon 45 in human UNG2). Table 4 shows the % of identical residues in the different forms, using human UNG2 as the reference (100%). The parts of the protein that are not required for catalytic activity are less well conserved than the catalytic domain. Amino acids that have been found to be critical for catalytic activity or formation of the uracil-binding pocket (Mol et al., 1995, *supra*; Kavli et al., 1996, *EMBO J.*, 15, p3442-3447) or DNA binding are completely conserved in mouse (residues Q144, D145, P146, Y147, F158, S169, N204, S247, H268, S270, L272, S273, Y275 and R276 in UNG1).

To compare the promoter activity of promoter I alone and promoter I and promoter II in combination, promoter-luciferase gene constructs were prepared and transient transfection experiments performed with HeLa cells. These studies verified the promoter activity of promoter II alone (Haug et al., 1994, *supra*) and further demonstrated that when both promoters are present in the construct, the luciferase activity increased some 50%, indicating that promoter I is also active in HeLa cells, as expected from the abundance of the new cDNA in proliferating cells (Table 5).

Coupled transcription-translation of the two forms of human and mouse cDNA resulted in easily measurable uracil-DNA glycosylase activity for both forms from mouse and man. For calculations of the relative 5 specific activities, the radioactivity released in uracil-DNA glycosylase assays was compared to band intensities on an SDS-PAGE gel from transcription/translation reactions using [³⁵S] methionine (Table 6).

10 To examine whether human UNG1 and UNG2 were translocated to different subcellular compartments, constructs expressing fusion proteins of the UNG proteins and a red shifted variant of green fluorescent 15 protein (EGFP-N1) were prepared. These were used for transient transfection experiments with HeLa cells. The major advantage of the green fluorescent protein (over the use of antibodies) is that this method relies on the autofluorescence of this protein alone, and thus 20 possible cross reaction of the antibody with epitopes in irrelevant proteins is not a problem. The control (pEGFP-N1) shows that the green fluorescent protein displays a homogeneous staining over the cells (Figure 7A). In contrast, the UNG2-EGFP-N1 fusion protein is 25 exclusively located in the nuclei (Figure 7C) and the UNG1-EGFP-N1 fusion protein (Figure 7D) is mainly, if not exclusively, located in extranuclear spots that have the same appearance as mitochondria stained with Texas red (Figure 7B). These results provide 30 convincing experimental evidence that UNG2 is a nuclear protein and UNG1 a mitochondrial protein.

- 45 -

Table 4. Conservation of amino acids in four homologs of uracil-DNA glycosylase calculated as % identity with human UNG2*

5	% identity of domains			
	Variant presequence# (1-44)	Common presequence (45-63)	Catalytic domain (64-313)	Overall identity (1-313)
10	hUNG1	2	100	100
	mUNG2	64	75	91
	mUNG1	2	75	91
				86
				79

15 * The identity is calculated for the domains in UNG2 compared with the corresponding domains in the other forms. # The identity of the presequences of hUNG1 and mUNG1 is 27% with 82% identity overall.

20 Table 5. Promoter activites in the UNG gene*

25	Promoter - reporter gene construct	Luciferase activity %
	pGL2-Basic	0.8±0.4
	pGL2-ProB	100±8
	pGL2-ProAB	156±4

30 * The promoter activity of pGL2-ProB (promoter II) was arbitrarily set to 100%. pGL2-Basic is a control lacking promoter.

35

Table 6. Relative specific activities of different forms of UNG after translation in rabbit reticulocyte lysates*

	5	Protein	dpm*	Area (mm ²)	Activity (dpm/area)
10	human UNG1	1291	0.054	23907	
	human UNG2	6360	0.268	23731	
	human UNG1	921	0.061	15098	
	mouse UNG2	856	0.051	16784	

* Relative specific activities were calculated from measured dpm-values (³H-uracil released in uracil-DNA glycosylase assays) and areas under the curve of scanned bands on SDS-PAGE gels after subtraction of background values of 123 dpm.

Claims:

1.1. A DNA glycosylase capable of releasing cytosine bases from single stranded (ss) DNA and/or double stranded (ds) DNA (cytosine-DNA glycosylase) or thymine bases from both single stranded (ss) DNA and double stranded (ds) DNA or from single stranded (ss) DNA (thymine-DNA glycosylase) or uracil bases from single stranded (ss) DNA and/or double stranded (ds) DNA (uracil-DNA glycosylase), wherein said uracil-DNA glycosylase is encoded by a nucleic acid molecule comprising the sequence (SEQUENCE I.D. Nos 1 and 2):

15	1	CACAGCCACA	GCCAGGGCTA	GCCTCGCCGG	TTCCCGGGTG	GCGCGCGTTC	GCTGCCCTCC
	61	CAGCTCCAGG	ATGATCGGCC	AGAAGACGCT	CTACTCCCTT	TTCTCCCCCA	GCCCCGCCAG
		M I G	Q K T	L Y S F	F S P	S P A	
20	121	GAAGCGACAC	GCCCCAGCC	CCGAGCCGGC	CGTCCAGGGG	ACCGGGCGTGG	CTGGGGTGCC
		R K R H	A P S	P E P	A V Q G	T G V	A G V
	181	TGAGGAAAGC	GGAGATGCGG	CGGCCATCCC	AGCCAAGAAG	GCCCCGGCTG	GGCAGGGAGGA
		P E E S	G D A	A A I	P A K K	A P A	G Q E
25	241	GCCTGGGACG	CCGCCCTCCT	CGCCGCTGAG	TGCCGAGCAG	TTGGACCGGA	TCCAGAGGAA
		E P G T	P P S	S P L	S A E Q	L D R	I Q R
	301	CAAGGCCGCG	GCCCTGCTCA	GACTCGCGC	CCGCAACGTG	CCCGTGGGCT	TTGGAGAGAG
		N K A A	A L L	R L A	A R N V	P V G	F G E
30	361	CTGGAAGAAC	CACCTCAGCG	GGGAGTTCGG	GAAACCGTAT	TTTATCAAGC	TAATGGGATT
		S W K K	H L S	G E F	G K P Y	F I K	L M G
35	421	TGTTGCAGAA	GAAAGAAAGC	ATTACACTGT	TTATCCACCC	CCACACCAAG	TCTTCACCTG
		F V A E	E R K	H Y T	V Y P P	P H Q	V F T
	481	GACCCAGATG	TGTGACATAA	AAGATGTGAA	GGTTGTCATC	CTGGGACAGG	ATCCATATCA
		W T Q M	C D I	K D V	K V V I	L G Q	D P Y
40	541	TGGACCTAAT	CAAGCTCACG	GGCTCTGCTT	TAGTGTCAA	AGGCCTGTT	CGCCCTCCGCC
		H G P N	Q A H	G L C	F S V Q	R P V	P P P
	601	CAGTTGGAG	ACATTTATA	AAGAGTTGTC	TACAGACATA	GAGGATTTG	TTCATCCTGG
		P S L E	N I Y	K E L	S T D I	E D F	V H P
45	661	CCATGGGAGAT	TTATCTGGGT	GGGCCAAGCA	AGGTGTTCTC	CTTCTCAACG	CTGTCCTCAC
		G H G D	L S G	W A K	Q G V L	L L N	A V L

721 GGTCGTGCC CATCAAGCCA ACTCTCATAA GGAGCGAGGC TGGGAGCAGT TCACTGATGC
 T V R A H Q A N S H K E R G W E Q F T D
 781 AGTTGTGTCC TGGCTAAATC AGAACTCGAA TGGCCTTGTGTT TTCTTGCTCT GGGGCTCTTA
 5 A V V S W L N Q N S N G L V F L L W G S
 841 TGCTCAGAAG AAGGGCAGTG CCATTGATAG GAAGCGGCAC CATGTACTAC AGACGGCTCA
 Y A Q K K G S A I D R K R H H V L Q T A
 10 901 TCCCTCCCCCT TTGTCAGTGT ATAGAGGGTT CTTTGGATGT AGACACTTTT CAAAGACCAA
 H P S P L S V Y R G F F G C R H F S K T
 961 TGAGCTGCTG CAGAAAGTCTG GCAAGAAGCC CATTGACTGG AAGGAGCTGT GATCATCAGC
 N E L L Q K S G K K P I D W K E L
 15 1021 TGAGGGGTGG CCTTTGAGAA GCTGCTGTTA ACGTATTGTC CAGTTACGAA GTTCCACTGA
 1081 AAATTTTCCT ATTAAATTCTT AAGTACTCTG CATAAGGGGG AAAAGCTTCC AGAAAGCAGC
 20 1141 CATGAACCAG GCTGTCAGG AATGGCAGCT GTATCCAACC ACAAAACAACA AAGGCTACCC
 1201 TTTGACCAAA TGTCTTCTC TGCAACATGG CTTCGGCCTA AAATATGCAG AAGACAGATG
 1261 AGGTCAAATA CTCAGTTGGC TCTCTTATC TCCCTTGCTT TTATGGTCAA ACAGGGGAGA
 25 1321 TGTGCACCTT TCAGGCACAG CCCTAGTTG GCGCCTGCTG CTCCTGGTT TTGCCTGGTT
 1381 AGACTTTCAAG TGACAGATGT TGGGGTGTGTT TTGCTTAGAA AGGTCCCCCTT GTCTCAGCCT
 30 1441 TGCAGGGCAG GCATGCCAGT CTCTGCCAGT TCCACTGCC CTTGATCTT TGAAGGAGTC
 1501 CTCAGGCCCC TCGCAGCATA AGGATGTTT GCAACTTCC AGAATCTGGC CCAGAAATTA
 1561 GGGCTCAATT TCCTGATTGT AGTAGAGGTT AAGATTGCTG TGAGCTTTAT CAGATAAGAG
 35 1621 ACCGAGAGAA GTAAGCTGGG TCTTGTATT CCTTGGGTGT TGGTGAATA AGCAGTGGAA
 1681 TTTGAACAAG GAAGAGGAGA AAAGGGAAATT TTGTCTTAT GGGGTGGGGT GATTTTCTCC
 40 1741 TAGGGTTATG TCCAGTTGGG GTTTTAAGG CAGCACAGAC TGCCAAGTAC TGTTTTTTT
 1801 AACCGACTGA AATCACTTTG GGATATTTTT TCCTGCAACA CTGGAAAGTT TTGTTTTTT
 1861 AAGAAGTACT CATGCAGATA TATATATATA TATTTTCCC AGTCCTTTTT TTAAGAGACG
 45 1921 GTCTTTATTG GGTCTGCACC TCCATCCTTG ATCTTGTTAG CAATGCTGTT TTTGCTGTTA
 1981 GTCGGGGTAG AGTTGGCTCT ACGCGAGGTT TGTTAATAAA AGTTGTTAA AAGTTCAAAA
 50 2041 AAAAAAAA AAA

or a fragment thereof encoding a catalytically active product comprising at least nucleotides 121 to 130 in

addition to the catalytic domain, or a sequence which is degenerate, substantially homologous with or which hybridizes with at least nucleotides 121 to 130 of any such aforesaid sequence.

5

2. A cytosine-DNA glycosylase (CDG) as claimed in claim 1.

10 3. A cytosine-DNA glycosylase (CDG) as claimed in claim 1 or 2 wherein said CDG is capable of releasing both cytosine and uracil bases from ssDNA and/or dsDNA.

15 4. A cytosine-DNA glycosylase (CDG) as claimed in any one of claims 1 to 3 wherein said CDG is derived from UDG.

20 5. A CDG as claimed in claim 4 wherein Asn at amino acid position 204 in human UDG protein or equivalent residue in other species is substituted or modified.

6. A CDG as claimed in claim 5 wherein said Asn or equivalent residue is replaced with an aspartic acid residue (Asp).

25 7. A thymine-DNA glycosylase (TDG) as claimed in claim 1.

8. A thymine-DNA glycosylase (TDG) as claimed in claim 1 or 7 wherein said TDG is capable of releasing both thymine and uracil bases from both ssDNA and dsDNA.

30 9. A thymine-DNA glycosylase (TDG) as claimed in any one of claims 1, 7 or 8 wherein said TDG is capable of releasing thymine bases from A:T DNA pairs.

35 10. A thymine-DNA glycosylase (TDG) as claimed in any one of claims 1, 7 or 8 wherein said TDG is capable of

- 50 -

releasing thymine bases from single stranded DNA.

11. A thymine-DNA glycosylase (TDG) as claimed in any one of claims 1 or 6 to 9 wherein said TDG is derived
5 from UDG.

12. A TDG as claimed in claim 11 wherein Tyr at amino acid position 147 in human UDG protein or equivalent residue in other species is substituted or modified.

10 13. A TDG as claimed in claim 12 wherein said Tyr or equivalent residue is replaced with an alanine residue (Ala).

15 14. A CDG or TDG as claimed in any one of claims 4 to 6 or 11 to 13 wherein said UDG is human.

16. A uracil-DNA glycosylase (UDG) as claimed in claim
1.

20 16. A uracil-DNA glycosylase (UDG) as claimed in claim 1 or 15 wherein said fragment comprises at least nucleotides 71 to 202 and/or degenerate, substantially homologous and hybridizing sequences are degenerate, substantially homologous with or hybridize with at
25 least nucleotides 71 to 202.

17. A UDG as claimed in claim 16 wherein degenerate, substantially homologous and hybridizing sequences are degenerate, substantially homologous with or hybridize with the entire sequence.

30 18. Nuclear localization peptides encoded by a nucleic acid molecule comprising the sequence (SEQUENCE I.D.
35 Nos 3 and 4):

ATGATCGGCC AGAAGACGCT CTACTCCTTT TTCTCCCCCA GCCCCGCCAG
M I G Q K T L Y S F F S P S P A

- 51 -

GAAGCGACAC GCCCCCAGCC CCGAGCCGGC CGTCCAGGGG ACCGGCGTGG CTGGGGTGCC
R K R H A P S P E P A V Q G T G V A G V

5 TGAGGAAAGC GGAGATGCGG CG
P E E S G D A A

10 or a fragment thereof encoding a functional equivalent
or a sequence which is degenerate, substantially
homologous with or which hybridizes with any such
aforesaid sequence.

15 19. Nuclear localizing peptides as claimed in claim 18
wherein said peptides include the amino acid sequence
RKRH.

20 20. A DNA glycosylase as claimed in any one of claims
1 to 17 which additionally comprises at least one
nuclear localization peptide sequence as defined in
claim 18 or 19 or at least one mitochondrial
localization peptide sequence encoded by a nucleic acid
molecule comprising the sequence (SEQUENCE I.D. Nos 5
and 6):

25 ATGGGCGTCT TCTGCCTTGG CCCGTGGGGG TTGGGCCGGA AGCTGCGGAC GCCTGGGAAG
M G V F C L G P W G L G R K L R T P G K

GGGCCGCTGC AGCTCTTGAG CCGCCTCTGC GGGGACCACT TGCAG
G P L Q L L S R L C G D H L Q

30 30 or a fragment thereof encoding a functional equivalent
or a sequence which is degenerate, substantially
homologous with or which hybridizes with any such
aforesaid sequence.

35 21. An assay for the identification of DNA
glycosylases as defined in any one of claims 1 to 14 in
a sample, in which said assay comprises at least the
step of assaying for activity in the sample which is
capable of excising thymine or cytosine and optionally
40 also uracil from an introduced ssDNA and/or dsDNA

substrate.

22. A nucleic acid molecule comprising a nucleotide sequence which encodes a DNA glycosylase and/or nuclear 5 localizing peptide as defined in any one of claims 1 to 20.

23. An expression vector containing a nucleic acid molecule as defined in claim 22.

10 24. A transformed or transfected host cell carrying a nucleic acid molecule as defined in claim 22.

15 25. Use of one or more DNA glycosylases as defined in any one of claims 1 to 17 in in vitro and/or in vivo mutagenesis systems.

20 26. Use of one or more DNA glycosylases as defined in any one of claims 1 to 14 for removing contaminating DNA prior to PCR amplification.

27. Use of one or more DNA glycosylases as defined in any one of claims 1 to 14 in DNA modification.

25 28. A method of killing cells, comprising the steps of introducing a DNA glycosylase as defined in any one of claims 1 to 17 into a cell and expressing said DNA glycosylase in the cell to an extent which results in the killing of that cell.

30 29. A method of performing enzymatic DNA sequencing to determine the position of cytosine and/or thymine bases by treating said DNA with at least one CDG and/or TDG as defined in any one of claims 1 to 14.

1/7




Figure 1

2/7




Figure 2

3/7




Figure 3

4/7




Figure 4

Figure 5

6/7

hUNG1	<i>MGVFCLGPWGLGRKLRTPGKGPLQLLSRLC</i> ----- <i>GDHLQA</i>	36
mUNG1	<i>MGV</i> ----- <i>LGRRSLR</i> -- <i>LARRAGLRS</i> <i>LTPNPDSDSRQA</i>	31
hUNG2	<i>MIGQKTLYSFFSPSPARKRHAPSPEPAVQGTGVAGVPEESGDAAA</i>	45
mUNG2	<i>MIGQKTLYSFFSPTPTGKRTTRSPEP</i> - <i>VPGSGVAA</i> -- <i>EIGGDAVA</i>	42
hUNG2/1	I <i>PAAKKAPAGQEEPGTPPSSPLSAEQLDRIQRNKAAALLRLAARNV</i>	90/81
mUNG2/1	<i>SPAKKARVEQNEQG</i> --- <i>SPLSAEQLVRIQRNKAAALLRLAARNV</i>	83/72
hUNG2/1	<i>PVGFGESWKHLSGEFGKPYFIKL</i> ^{*****} <i>MGFVAEERKHYTVYPPPHQVF</i>	135/126
mUNG2/1	<i>PAGFGESWKQQLCGEFGKPYFVKLMGFVAEERNHHKVYPPPEQVF</i>	128/117
hUNG2/1	<i>TWTQMCDIKDVVILGQDPYHGP</i> [★] <i>PNQAHGLCFSVQRPVPPPSLE</i>	180/171
mUNG2/1	<i>TWTQMCDIRDVVKVILGQDPYHGP</i> [★] <i>PNQAHGLCFSVQRPVPPPSLE</i>	173/162
hUNG2/1	<i>NIYKELSTDIEDFVHPGHDLSGWAKQGVLLLNAVLT</i> [★] <i>TVRAHQANS</i>	225/216
mUNG2/1	<i>NIFKELSTDIDGFVHPGHDLSGWARQGVLLLNAVLT</i> [★] <i>TVRAHQANS</i>	218/207
hUNG2/1	<i>HKERGWEQFTDAVVS</i> [▼] <i>WLNQNSNGLV</i> [▼] <i>FLLWG</i> [▼] <i>SYAQKKGSAIDRK</i> [▼] <i>RH</i>	270/261
mUNG2/1	<i>HKERGWEQFTDAVVS</i> [▼] <i>WLNQNL</i> [▼] <i>SGLV</i> [▼] <i>FLLWG</i> [▼] <i>SYAQKKGSVIDRK</i> [▼] <i>RH</i>	263/252
hUNG2/1	<i>HVLQTAHPSPLSVYRGFFGCRHFSKTNELLQKSGKKPIDW</i> [★] <i>KEL</i>	313/304
mUNG2/1	<i>HVLQTAHPSPLSVYRGFLGCRHFSKANELLQKSGKKPINW</i> [★] <i>KEL</i>	306/295

Figure 6

7/7




Figure 7